

# Zero Energy States of Reduced Super Yang-Mills Theories in $d + 1 = 4, 6$ and 10 dimensions are necessarily $\text{Spin}(d)$ invariant

D. Hasler<sup>(a)</sup>, J. Hoppe<sup>(b)</sup>

<sup>(a)</sup> *Theoretische Physik, ETH-Hönggerberg, CH-8093 Zürich*

<sup>(b)</sup> *Department of Mathematics, KTH, S-10044 Stockholm*

November 26, 2002

## Abstract

We consider reduced Super Yang-Mills Theory in  $d + 1$  dimensions, where  $d = 2, 3, 5, 9$ . We present commutators to prove that for  $d = 3, 5$  and 9 a possible ground state must be a  $\text{Spin}(d)$  singlet. We also discuss the case  $d = 2$ , where we give an upper bound on the total angular momentum and show that for odd dimensional gauge group no  $\text{Spin}(d)$  invariant state exists in the Hilbert space.

## 1 Introduction

We consider models, which are obtained by dimensional reduction of Super Yang-Mills theory with gauge group  $SU(N)$  in  $d + 1$  dimensions, where  $d = 2, 3, 5, 9$ . These models were used to formulate a quantum theory of supermembranes living in  $d + 2$  dimensions, and for  $d = 9$  they describe  $N$  interacting  $D0$  branes. It is interesting to know, whether these models admit a possible zero energy state and what the properties of such a state are. The general belief, partially proven, is that for  $d = 2, 3, 5$  no zero energy state exists and that for  $d = 9$  there exists a unique ground state.

Let us start with a very simple argument that zero energy states in  $d = 9$  are  $\text{Spin}(d)$  invariant: it is well known [1, 2, 3] that the supercharges,  $Q_\beta$ , of reduced Yang-Mills theory (for definitions and conventions, see the next section) and

$$\tilde{Q}_\beta = m \sum_{i=1}^3 q_{iA} (\gamma^{123} \gamma^i)_{\beta\alpha} \Theta_{\alpha A} - \frac{m}{2} \sum_{\mu=4}^9 q_{\mu A} (\gamma^{123} \gamma^\mu)_{\beta\alpha} \Theta_{\alpha A} ,$$

$\gamma^{123} = \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$ , satisfy anti commutation relations of the form

$$\{Q_\beta + \tilde{Q}_\beta, Q_{\beta'} + \tilde{Q}_{\beta'}\} = \delta_{\beta\beta'} \hat{H} + m J_{ij} (\gamma^{123} \gamma^{ij})_{\beta\beta'} - \frac{m}{2} J_{\mu\nu} (\gamma^{123} \gamma^{\mu\nu})_{\beta\beta'} + 2 q_{tA} \gamma_{\beta\beta'}^t J_A,$$

where  $J_A, J_{ij}, J_{\mu\nu}$  are the generators of  $SU(N)$ ,  $\text{Spin}(3)$ ,  $\text{Spin}(6)$  respectively and  $\hat{H}$  is an operator, whose form is not important here. As

$$\{\tilde{Q}_\beta, \tilde{Q}_{\beta'}\} = \delta_{\beta\beta'}(m^2 \sum_{iA} q_{iA}^2 + \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\mu A} q_{\mu A}^2) =: (\delta_{\beta\beta'} \tilde{H}),$$

it immediately follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\beta, \tilde{Q}_{\beta'}\} + \{\tilde{Q}_\beta, Q_{\beta'}\} &= \delta_{\beta\beta'}(\hat{H} - H - \tilde{H}) \\ &\quad + m J_{ij}(\gamma^{123} \gamma^{ij})_{\beta\beta'} - \frac{m}{2} J_{\mu\nu}(\gamma^{123} \gamma^{\mu\nu})_{\beta\beta'}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

so that for  $SU(N)$  invariant zero energy states  $\phi, \psi$ , i.e. states annihilated by the  $Q_\beta$  and  $J_A$ ,

$$(\phi, J_{ij}\psi) = 0, \quad (\phi, J_{\mu\nu}\psi) = 0.$$

(just multiply (1) by  $(\gamma^{123} \gamma^{ij})_{\beta\beta'}$ , respectively  $(\gamma^{123} \gamma^{\mu\nu})_{\beta\beta'}$  and sum over  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ ); hence

$$J_{ij}\psi = 0, \quad J_{\mu\nu}\psi = 0,$$

by choosing  $\phi = J_{ij}\psi$ , respectively  $J_{\mu\nu}\psi$ . As (123) may be replaced by any other triple  $(stu)$ ,  $J_{st}\psi = 0$ , for all  $s, t = 1, \dots, 9$ , provided  $Q_\beta\psi = 0, J_A\psi = 0$ .

In the next section we will treat  $d = 2, 3, 5, 9$  on equal footing and, similar to [4], look for anti-commutators to prove that zero-energy states have to be invariant under  $\text{Spin}(d)$ . We do find such anti-commutators for  $d = 3, 5$  and  $9$ . For  $d = 2$ , we give an upper bound on the total angular momentum and show that if  $SU(N)$  is odd dimensional, i.e.  $N$  even, no  $\text{Spin}(d)$  invariant state exists in the Hilbert space. The discussion below generalizes to other gauge groups.

## 2 Model and Results

Let  $d = 2, 3, 5, 9$ , and let  $(\gamma^i)_{\alpha\beta}$  denote the real irreducible representation of smallest dimension, called  $s_d$ , of the  $\gamma$ -matrices in  $d$  dimensions, i.e. the relations  $\{\gamma^s, \gamma^t\} = 2\delta^{st}\mathbb{I}$ . We have  $s_d = 2, 4, 8, 16$ . The model, which we are discussing, contains the self adjoint bosonic degrees of freedom  $q_{sA}, p_{sA}$  ( $s = 1, \dots, d, A = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$ ) and the self adjoint fermionic degrees of freedom  $\Theta_{\alpha A}$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, s_d, A = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$ ) satisfying

$$[q_{sA}, p_{tB}] = i\delta_{st}\delta_{AB}, \quad (2)$$

$$\{\Theta_{\alpha A}, \Theta_{\beta B}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{AB}, \quad (3)$$

$$[q_{sA}, \Theta_{\alpha B}] = [p_{sA}, \Theta_{\alpha B}] = 0.$$

More precisely, we consider the Schrödinger representation ( $p_{sA} = -i\partial_{sA}$ ) of (2) on the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d(N^2-1)}) \otimes \mathcal{F},$$

where  $\mathcal{F} \cong (\mathbb{C}^2)^{(s_d/2)(N^2-1)}$  is the irreducible representation space of (3). The infinitesimal generators of the gauge group  $SU(N)$  read

$$J_A = -if_{ABC}(q_{tB}\partial_{tC} + \frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\alpha B}\Theta_{\alpha C}),$$

where  $f_{ABC}$  are real, antisymmetric structure constants of  $SU(N)$ . The physical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ , given by the  $SU(N)$  invariant states in  $\mathcal{H}$ , is the Hilbert space of the model. We have a representation of  $\text{Spin}(d)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  ( $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ ), with infinitesimal generators

$$\begin{aligned} J_{st} &= -i(q_{sA}\partial_{tA} - q_{tA}\partial_{sA}) - \frac{i}{4}\Theta_{\alpha A}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st}\Theta_{\beta A} \\ &\equiv L_{st} + M_{st} , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma^{st} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^s, \gamma^t]$ . The supercharges are given by

$$Q_\beta = \Theta_{\alpha A}(-i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^t\partial_{tA} + \frac{1}{2}f_{ABC}q_{sB}q_{tC}\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st}) ,$$

and the Hamiltonian by

$$H = -\Delta + \frac{1}{2}f_{ABC}q_{sB}q_{tC}f_{ADE}q_{sD}q_{tE} + iq_{sA}f_{ABC}\Theta_{\alpha B}\Theta_{\beta C}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^s .$$

The anti-commutation relations for the supercharges are

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}H + 2\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^s q_{sA}J_A ,$$

On  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$  this reads

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}H .$$

We note that the Operators  $Q_\alpha$  and  $H$  are self adjoint on their maximal domain, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(Q_\alpha) &= \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} | (Q_\alpha\psi)_{\text{dist}} \in \mathcal{H}\} , \\ \mathcal{D}(H) &= \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} | (H\psi)_{\text{dist}} \in \mathcal{H}\} , \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\cdot)_{\text{dist}}$  is understood in the sense of distributions. The restrictions of  $H$  and  $Q_\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$  are also self adjoint. We are only interested in  $SU(N)$  invariant states, i.e. states in  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ . By definition  $\psi$  is a zero energy state iff  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} \cap \text{Ker}H$ . We want to prove the following

**Theorem 1.**

- (a) For  $d = 3, 5, 9$ , a possible zero energy state is a  $\text{Spin}(d)$  singlet.
- (b) For  $d = 2$ , a possible zero energy state  $\psi$  satisfies

$$\|J_{st}\psi\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \dim SU(N) \|\psi\| .$$

We start with

**Lemma 2.** We have the following (formal) anti-commutator relations.

- (a) For  $d = 2, 3, 5, 9$ , we have with  $b_\alpha^{uv} := \frac{1}{s_d}(q_{uA}\gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^v\Theta_{\epsilon A} - q_{vA}\gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^u\Theta_{\epsilon A})$ ,

$$\{Q_\alpha, b_\alpha^{uv}\} = J_{uv} + (8/s_d - 1)M_{uv} .$$

(b) For  $d = 2, 3, 9$ , we have with  $c_\alpha^{uv} := \frac{1}{s_d}(\gamma^w \gamma^{uv})_{\alpha\epsilon} q_{wD} \Theta_{\epsilon D}$ ,

$$\{Q_\alpha, c_\alpha^{uv}\} = J_{uv} + (4d/s_d - 1)M_{uv}$$

(c) For  $d = 3, 5, 9$ , we have with  $a_\alpha^{uv} = \frac{1}{4d-8} \cdot ((4d - s_d)b_\alpha^{uv} - (8 - s_d)c_\alpha^{uv})$ ,

$$\{Q_\alpha, a_\alpha^{uv}\} = J_{uv}.$$

*Proof.*

By a straight forward calculation we find for  $d = 2, 3, 5, 9$

$$\{Q_\alpha, q_{uD} \Theta_{\epsilon F}\} = -i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^u \Theta_{\beta D} \Theta_{\epsilon F} + \gamma_{\epsilon\alpha}^s q_{uD} (-i\partial_{sF}) + \frac{1}{2} f_{FBC} q_{uD} q_{sB} q_{tC} \gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^{st}. \quad (4)$$

(a) We have, using (4),

$$\{Q_\alpha, q_{uD} \gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^v \Theta_{\epsilon D}\} = -i(\gamma^u \gamma^v)_{\beta\epsilon} \Theta_{\beta D} \Theta_{\epsilon D} + s_d q_{uD} (-i\partial_{vD}) + \frac{1}{2} f_{DBC} q_{uD} q_{sB} q_{tC} \gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^{st} \gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^v. \quad (5)$$

The last term in (5) vanishes since the trace over the  $\gamma$ -matrices equals zero. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha, q_{uA} \gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^v \Theta_{\epsilon A} - q_{vA} \gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^u \Theta_{\epsilon A}\} &= -is_d(q_{uA} \partial_{vA} - q_{vA} \partial_{uA}) - i\Theta_{\alpha A} 2\gamma_{\alpha\epsilon}^{uv} \Theta_{\epsilon A} \\ &= s_d J_{uv} + (8 - s_d) M_{uv}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have, using (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\alpha, (\gamma^w \gamma^{uv})_{\alpha\epsilon} q_{wD} \Theta_{\epsilon D}\} &= d(-i)\gamma_{\beta\epsilon}^{uv} \Theta_{\beta D} \Theta_{\epsilon D} + s_d(-i)(q_{uD} \partial_{vD} - q_{vD} \partial_{uD}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\gamma^w \gamma^{uv} \gamma^{st}) f_{DBC} q_{wD} q_{sB} q_{tC} \\ &= s_d J_{uv} + (4d - s_d) M_{uv}, \end{aligned}$$

where the term in the second line is zero, as the trace over the five  $\gamma$ -matrices vanishes.

(c) follows by a linear combination of (a) and (b).  $\square$

We note that the action of  $\text{Spin}(d)$  leaves the kernel of  $H$  invariant. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Ker} H \cap \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ . Then  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Ker} Q_\beta$  for all  $\beta$  and by elliptic regularity  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty$ . We assume that  $\psi$  lies in an irreducible representation space of  $\text{Spin}(d)$ . Hence  $J_{uv} \psi \in \text{Ker} H \cap \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ . Let  $d = 3, 5, 9$ . By Lemma 2 (c), we have

$$Q_\alpha a_\alpha^{uv} \psi = \{Q_\alpha, a_\alpha^{uv}\} \psi = J_{uv} \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Taking the scalar product with  $\varphi$ , we want to bring  $Q_\alpha$  to the other side, i.e. integrate by parts. Therefore we regularize as in [4]. There exists a function  $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $C^\infty$ , such that

$$\chi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \leq 1 \\ \in [0, 1] & 1 < r < 3 \\ 0 & 3 \leq r \end{cases},$$

and  $|\chi'(r)| \leq 1$ . Define  $g_n(q) \equiv \chi(|q|/n)$ . By dominated convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi, J_{uv}\psi) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi, g_n Q_\alpha a_\alpha^{uv} \psi) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi, [g_n, Q_\alpha] a_\alpha^{uv} \psi) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi, Q_\alpha g_n a_\alpha^{uv} \psi) . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The second term in (6) vanishes since  $g_n a_\alpha^{uv} \psi \in C_0^\infty$  is in the domain of  $Q_\alpha$  and  $Q_\alpha$  is self adjoint. By

$$|[Q_\beta, g_n]|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \text{const.} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \chi'(|q|/n),$$

where  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{F}}$  stands for the the norm in  $\mathcal{F}$  or the operator norm in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$ , the first term in (6) vanishes using the following estimate.

$$|(\varphi, [g_n, Q_\alpha] a_\alpha^{uv} \psi)| \leq \text{const.} \cdot \int_{n \leq |q| \leq 3n} n \cdot \frac{1}{n} |\varphi|_{\mathcal{F}} |\psi|_{\mathcal{F}} dq \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $(\varphi, J_{uv}\psi) = 0$ . Choosing  $\varphi = J_{uv}\psi$ , we find

$$(J_{uv}\psi, J_{uv}\psi) = 0.$$

By linear combination, it follows that for  $d = 3, 5, 9$  all states in  $\text{Ker} H \cap \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$  are  $\text{Spin}(d)$  singlets. For  $d = 2$ , we use Lemma 2 (a) or (b) and find by an analogous argument  $(\varphi, (J_{st} + 6M_{st})\psi) = 0$ . Choosing  $\varphi = J_{st}\psi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (J_{12}\psi, J_{12}\psi) &\leq 6|(J_{12}\psi, M_{12}\psi)| \\ &\leq 6\|J_{12}\psi\| \cdot \|M_{12}\psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

A real irreducible representation of the  $\gamma$ -matrices in 2 dimensions is given by  $\gamma^1 = \sigma^1$ ,  $\gamma^2 = -\sigma^3$ . In this representation we have  $\gamma^{12} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^1, \gamma^2] = i\sigma^2$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{12}\psi\| &\leq 6\|M_{12}\psi\| \\ &= 6\left\|\frac{i}{4}\Theta_{\alpha A}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{12}\Theta_{\beta A}\psi\right\| \\ &= 6\left\|\frac{i}{2}\Theta_{1A}\Theta_{2A}\psi\right\| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2}\dim SU(N)\|\psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

By linear combination the above equation holds for all states in  $\text{Ker} H \cap \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ . Hence Theorem 1 follows.

The case  $d = 2$  is special as the following theorem shows.

**Theorem 3.** *For  $d = 2$  and odd dimensional gauge group  $SU(N)$  no  $\text{Spin}(d)$  invariant state exists in  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition

$$J_{12} = -i(q_{1A}\partial_{2A} - q_{2A}\partial_{1A}) - \frac{i}{4}\Theta_{\alpha A}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{12}\Theta_{\beta A}.$$

As above, we choose  $\gamma^1 = \sigma^1$ ,  $\gamma^2 = -\sigma^3$ . We define the following annihilation and creation operators

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Theta_{1A} + i\Theta_{2A}), \quad \lambda_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Theta_{1A} - i\Theta_{2A}).$$

We find

$$J_{12} = L_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Theta_{1A}\Theta_{2A} = L_{12} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_A} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \dim SU(N).$$

Assume  $\psi$  is  $\text{Spin}(d)$ -invariant, i.e.  $J_{12}\psi = 0$ . Then

$$\left( L_{12} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_A} \right) \psi = -\frac{1}{4} \cdot \dim SU(N) \psi.$$

If  $\dim SU(N)$  is odd this contradicts that the spectrum of  $L_{12} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_A}$  only takes values in  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence the claim follows.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** We thank J. Fröhlich and G.M. Graf for useful discussions, and S. Sethi for pointing out to us reference [4].

## References

- [1] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase, Strings in flat space and pp waves from  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang Mills, JHEP **0204** (2002) 013, [hep-th/0202021](#).
- [2] K. Dasgupta, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, M. Van Raamsdonk, Matrix Perturbation Theory For M-theory On a PP-Wave, JHEP **0205** (2002) 056, [hep-th/0205185](#).
- [3] J. Hoppe, Membranes and Matrix Models, [hep-th/0206192](#).
- [4] S. Sethi, M. Stern, Invariance theorems for supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000) 487–501, [hep-th/0001189](#).